

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,666	01/24/2002		Wolfgang Billinger	P67552US0	8422	
136	7590	10/31/2006	•	EXAMINER		
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC				HOLZEN, STEPHEN A		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3644			
				DATE MAILED: 10/31/200	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/053,666	BILLINGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen A. Holzen	3644	

	Stephen A. Holzen	3644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparting the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ootou olamia.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15, 19-23, 26, 27, 30, 32-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24,25 and 28</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and					
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a								
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
TEDI DUAM (1 11)								
TERI PHAM LUU								
SUPERVISORY								

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has introduced a limitation into claim 1 that has not previously been considered in combination with the elements of claim 1 and therefor this amendment would require the examiner to reconsider the scope of claim 1...